

The Substance of Consciousness

A Comprehensive Defense of
Contemporary Substance Dualism

Brandon Rickabaugh
J P Moreland

WILEY Blackwell

Brief Table of Contents

List of Figures	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxii
PART I Ontologically Serious Philosophy of Mind	1
1 Substance Dualism in the 21st Century	3
2 How Staunch Naturalism Shapes the Dialectic in Philosophy of Mind	16
3 A Brief Ontological Detour: Subjects, Parts, Wholes, and Unity	43
PART II Arguments from Introspection, Self-Awareness, and Intentionality	57
4 The Real Nature of Introspection Arguments for Substance Dualism	59
5 From Self-Awareness and Intentionality to the Self as Soul	74
PART III On the Fundamental Unity of Conscious Beings	117
6 From Phenomenal Unity to the Synchronic Unity of the Immaterial Self	119
7 Mereological Essentialism and the Diachronic Endurance of the Soul	144
PART IV Updated and Novel Arguments from Modality and Libertarian Freedom	189
8 Upgrading Modal Arguments for Substance Dualism	191
9 Staunch Libertarian Agency and the Simple, Enduring Soul	234

x Brief Table of Contents

**PART V New and Neglected Responses to Common Defeaters
Against Substance Dualism 273**

10 Important Frequently Raised Defeaters Against Substance Dualism 275

PART VI Charting a Future for Substance Dualism 309

11 New Research Programs for 21st Century Substance Dualism 311

APPENDIX: The Soul, Mental Action, and the Conservation Laws 344
Mihretu P. Guta

Bibliography 361

Index 392

Contents

List of Figures	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxii
PART I Ontologically Serious Philosophy of Mind	1
CHAPTER 1 Substance Dualism in the 21st Century	3
1.1 The Return of Substance Dualism	3
1.1.1 The Great Revolt Against Dualism	3
1.1.2 The Resurgence of Substance Dualism	5
1.2 The Case Against Substance Dualism Weakens	6
1.2.1 Admissions to the Weakness of Standard Objections to Substance Dualism	6
1.2.2 Admitting the Faith of Anti-Dualism	6
1.2.3 Proposals of Naturalistic Substance Dualism	7
1.3 The Revival of Substance Dualist Intuitions	8
1.3.1 The Return to the Self and Subject of Consciousness	8
1.3.2 The Meta-Problem of Consciousness and Cognitive Science	8
1.3.3 The Revival of Aristotelianism	8
1.3.4 Broad Worldview Considerations	9
1.4 The Current Turning Away From Standard Physicalism	9
1.4.1 The Fundamentality of Consciousness	10
1.4.2 The Return of Panpsychism	10
1.4.3 Consciousness-First Philosophy of Mind	11
1.4.4 Phenomenal Transparency and the Opacity of the Physical	11
1.5 Mere Substance Dualism	13
1.5.1 Delineating the View	13
1.5.2 The Undeniable Recalcitrance of Substance Dualism	15
CHAPTER 2 How Staunch Naturalism Shapes the Dialectic in Philosophy of Mind	16
2.1 Worldviews, Scientific Naturalism, and the Standard Picture	16
2.1.1 Philosophy of Mind and Worldview Implications	16
2.1.2 Two Versions of a Naturalistic Worldview	17
2.1.3 Naturalism and the Standard Picture	18

2.2	Important Epistemic Background Issues	20
2.2.1	Basicity	20
2.2.2	Naturalness	20
2.2.3	Explanatory Simplicity: Ockham's Razor and Ontological Parsimony	21
2.3	Contemporary Scientific Naturalists Should Be Staunch Naturalists and Strong Physicalists	23
2.3.1	The Naturalist Epistemic Attitude	24
2.3.1.1	Epistemology and the Origin and Nature of Human Noetic Faculties	24
2.3.1.2	Scientism	25
2.3.1.3	Third-Person Ways of Knowing	25
2.3.1.4	Combinatorial Modes of Explanation	25
2.3.2	The Grand Story	27
2.3.2.1	Précis of the Grand Story	27
2.3.2.2	Four Core Elements to the Grand Story	27
2.3.3	The Standard Naturalist Ontology	28
2.3.3.1	The Location Problem	28
2.3.3.2	Microphysical Priority and the Mereological Hierarchy	29
2.4	Naturalistic Emergence	29
2.4.1	Three Important Distinctions	30
2.4.1.1	Weak Vs. Strong Property Supervenience	30
2.4.1.2	Emergent Vs. Structural Supervenience	30
2.4.1.3	Making Precise the Notion of an Emergent Property	31
2.4.2	Additional Metaphysical Aspects of Emergent Properties	31
2.4.2.1	Twin Hallmarks of Emergence	31
2.4.2.2	Seven Characteristics of Emergent Properties	32
2.4.3	Confusion About the Basic Problem of Emergent Properties and Faint-hearted Naturalism	32
2.4.4	Classification of Emergent Properties and a New Difficulty for Faint-hearted Naturalism	34
2.4.5	Eight Additional Criticisms	35
2.4.5.1	"Emergence" Is Just a Label	35
2.4.5.2	Emergence and Empirically Equivalent Theories	36
2.4.5.3	Emergence Ex Nihilo	36
2.4.5.4	Emergence as Brute Facts	37
2.4.5.5	Sorites Problems	38
2.4.5.6	The Combination Problem	38
2.4.5.7	Consciousness and a Bayesian Argument for Theism	39
2.4.5.8	Novel Properties and New Substances	40
2.5	Going Forward	41
CHAPTER 3	A Brief Ontological Detour: Subjects, Parts, Wholes, and Unity	43
3.1	Husserlian Parts, Wholes, and Unity	43

3.1.1	Parthood and Simplicity: Separable and Inseparable Parts	44
3.1.2	Holistic Unity: Genuine Wholes, Relations, and Aggregates	46
3.2	Subjects of Consciousness: A Few Metaphysical Theses	50
3.2.1	What Is Consciousness?	50
3.2.2	Realism About Substantial Subjects and the Self	53
3.2.3	Subject Necessity	54
3.2.4	Subjects, Not Mental States, Are Conscious	55
3.2.5	Subject Simplicity and Subject Complexity	56
PART II Arguments from Introspection, Self-Awareness, and Intentionality		57
CHAPTER 4	The Real Nature of Introspection Arguments for Substance Dualism	59
4.1	Introspection Arguments for Substance Dualism	59
4.2	The Intensional Fallacy Objection	60
4.2.1	Replies	62
4.2.1.1	Equivocating Propositional Knowledge and Knowledge by Acquaintance	62
4.2.1.2	Absurd Introspection Skepticism	63
4.2.1.3	Missing the Target: Why AFI Is Metaphysical	66
4.3	The Objection from Neurological <i>de Dicto</i> but Not <i>de Re</i> Beliefs	67
4.3.1	Replies	68
4.3.1.1	The Neuroscientific Loss of Introspective Skepticism	68
4.3.1.2	The Illicit Presumption of Physicalism	70
4.3.1.3	Dubious Analogies from the History of Science	70
4.3.1.4	Grahek's Challenge to the Phenomenal Essence of Pain	71
4.4	Searle's Anti-Introspection Argument	72
4.5	Conclusion	73
CHAPTER 5	From Self-Awareness and Intentionality to the Self as Soul	74
5.1	David Barnett's Simplicity Argument	75
5.1.1	Barnett's Argument Stated	75
5.1.2	Objections to Barnett's Argument	76
5.1.2.1	Elimination	76
5.1.2.2	Maximality	77
5.1.2.3	Substance	78
5.1.2.4	Integrity	79
5.1.3	Summary	82
5.2	The Hard Meta-Problem of Consciousness	82
5.2.1	Intuitions and Rational Seemings	82
5.2.2	The Easy and Hard Meta-Problems of Consciousness	83
5.2.3	The Data: Dualist Seemings	84
5.2.3.1	Explanatory Gap Seemings	84

5.2.3.2	Unity and Simplicity Seemings	85
5.2.3.3	The Cognitive Science of Commonsense Substance Dualism	86
5.2.3.4	Eighteen Distinct Dualist Seemings	88
5.2.3.5	Artificial Intelligence Test Seemings	90
5.2.3.6	Three Explanatory Conditions of Dualist Seemings	90
5.3	A Direct Self-Awareness Argument for Substance Dualism	92
5.3.1	DSA: The Direct Self-Awareness Account of Dualist Seemings	92
5.3.2	Knowledge by Acquaintance	94
5.3.3	Monadic Intentionality	96
5.3.4	Givenness and Directedness	97
5.3.5	Direct Self-Awareness as a Self-Presenting Property	98
5.3.6	Self-Awareness Necessity	99
5.3.7	The Causal-Acquaintance Principle	100
5.3.8	Monadic Intentionality, Direct Self-Awareness, and Dualist Seemings	101
5.3.9	Satisfying the Explanatory Conditions of Dualist Seemings	101
5.3.10	Explanatory Unity	102
5.4	A Direct Reflective Self-Awareness Argument for Substance Dualism	103
5.4.1	Husserlian Fulfilment Structures and Self-Knowledge	103
5.4.2	Knowledge and Intentionality	103
5.4.3	Fulfilled SD Seemings	104
5.5	Objections to Self-Awareness Arguments	105
5.5.1	Hume's Phenomenological Report	105
5.5.2	Commonsense Materialism	106
5.5.3	Linguistic and Theoretical Dependence	107
5.5.4	Absent Dualist Seemings	107
5.5.5	Religious Etiology	108
5.5.6	Neuroscientific Defeaters	108
5.5.7	Self-Awareness Failure	108
5.5.8	The Dual-Process Defeater	110
5.5.8.1	Dualist Seemings and Moorean Facts	112
5.5.8.2	A Dualist Rival to DPA	113
5.5.8.3	A Generality Problem for DPA	114
5.5.8.4	A Superior Physicalist Account of Self-Awareness	115
5.6	A Crucial Implication of the Direct Self-Awareness Argument	116

PART III On the Fundamental Unity of Conscious Beings 117

CHAPTER 6	From Phenomenal Unity to the Synchronic Unity of the Immaterial Self	119
6.1	Introduction	119
6.2	The Datum: Phenomenally Unified Consciousness	121

6.2.1	Phenomenal Unity	121
6.2.2	Phenomenal Holism	122
6.2.3	Subject Phenomenal Unity	122
6.3	Phenomenally Unified Consciousness Contra Physicalism	123
6.3.1	The Anti-Distribution Argument	123
6.3.2	A Temporal Distribution Problem	125
6.3.3	The Process Argument	126
6.3.4	A No Phenomenal Processing Problem	127
6.3.5	A Micro-Subject Problem	127
6.3.6	The Function Argument	128
6.3.6.1	The Function Argument Against Animalism	129
6.3.7	An Emergentist Objection	131
6.3.8	Not All Simple Souls Will Do: Contra Emergent Substance Dualism	132
6.4	Phenomenally Unified Consciousness Contra Russellian Panpsychism	133
6.4.1	The Combination Problem(s)	134
6.4.2	The Privacy Argument Against Panpsychist Phenomenal Unity	135
6.4.2.1	Mental-Sharing and Subjectivity	135
6.4.2.2	Self-Presentation	136
6.4.2.3	Mine-ness Necessity	137
6.4.2.4	A Neo-Aristotelian Ontology of Phenomenal States	137
6.4.3	Objections to the Privacy Argument	139
6.4.3.1	Deny Mental-Sharing	139
6.4.3.2	Reject Privacy	139
6.5	General Objections to the Unity of Consciousness Argument	141
6.5.1	Rejecting Phenomenal Unity	141
6.5.2	Tim Bayne's Explanatory Failure Objection	142
CHAPTER 7	Mereological Essentialism and the Diachronic Endurance of the Soul	144
7.1	Stating and Clarifying the Argument	145
7.1.1	The Mereological Argument	145
7.1.2	Simplicity	146
7.1.3	Clarification of the Argument	147
7.2	The Standard Mereological Hierarchy or Layered View of the Natural World	148
7.2.1	A General Depiction of the Mereological Hierarchy	149
7.2.2	The Category of Individual	149
7.2.3	The Category of Property/Relation	149
7.2.4	The Structure of the Mereological Hierarchy	150
7.2.5	Causation	153
7.2.6	Concepts and Laws	153
7.3	A Defense of Premise (4): Human Persons Are Enduring Simple Spiritual Substances	155
7.3.1	Simple and Complex Views of Diachronic Personal Identity	155
7.3.2	Our Basic Awareness of Ourselves	156

7.3.3	Plantinga's Replacement Argument	158
7.3.4	Normative, Teleological Rationality, Rational Deliberation, and the Simple Enduring Spiritual Self	160
7.3.4.1	Reasoning Is Intrinsically Teleological	160
7.3.4.2	Fulfillment Structures and Teleologically Arranged Action Plans	162
7.4	Defense of Premise (1): MAs Are Mereological Inconstant Objects	163
7.4.1	An Ontological Assay of MAs	164
7.4.2	Defeaters for the Complex View of PI	165
7.4.2.1	The Circularity Problem	165
7.4.2.2	Gradualism, Transitivity, and Sorites	166
7.4.2.3	The Fission Problem	166
7.4.2.4	Immanent Causation to the Rescue?	167
7.4.3	Two Physicalist Alternatives to Human Persons as MAs	169
7.4.3.1	We Are Atomic Simples	169
7.4.3.1.1	Chisholm on Extended Physical Atoms or Simple Souls	169
7.4.3.1.2	Mental Subjects Are Simple Souls: Assessing the Case	170
7.4.3.1.3	The Ubiquitous Rejection of the EPA Position	171
7.4.3.2	We Are Homeodynamic Systems	172
7.4.3.2.1	Objections to the Systems Approach	173
7.4.3.2.1.1	What We Seem to Know About Ourselves	174
7.4.3.2.1.2	Avoid Spooky Entities at All Costs	174
7.4.3.2.1.3	Complex Systems as Synchronically Unified Wholes	174
7.4.3.2.1.4	Problems with Harmonizing Physicalism and Downward Causation (DC)	174
7.4.3.2.1.5	Complex Systems as Enduring Continuants	178
7.4.3.2.1.6	Versions of Staunch Hylomorphism Are Superior to Systems Theories of Organisms, Specifically, Human Persons	179

PART IV Updated and Novel Arguments from Modality and Libertarian Freedom	189
CHAPTER 8 Upgrading Modal Arguments for Substance Dualism	191
8.1 A Modal Argument for SD	191
8.1.1 Statement of the Argument	191
8.1.2 Clarification of the Argument	192
8.2 Defense of the Argument	193
8.2.1 Contingent Physicalism and Premise (2)	193
8.2.1.1 Merricks on Contingent Physicalism and the Modal Argument	193
8.2.1.1.1 Objections to Merricks's Argument	194
8.2.1.2 Contra Bailey's Contingent Physicalism	201
8.2.1.2.1 Four Features of Bailey's Position	201
8.2.1.2.2 Four Problems with Bailey's Position	203
8.2.1.2.3 An Addition Response to Bailey's via Negativa	211
8.2.2 Clarification and Defense of Premise (3)	212
8.2.2.1 Conceivability and Possibility	212
8.2.2.2 Modal Epistemology, Rational Intuitions as Seemings and Adequate Intuitive Presentation	213
8.2.2.2.1 Timothy O'Connor	213
8.2.2.2.2 George Bealer	218
8.2.2.2.3 Edmund Husserl	223
8.3 Five Objections Against Modal Arguments for SD	230
8.3.1 The Modal Argument Is Circular	230
8.3.2 The Modal Argument Establishes Merely a Duality of Concepts	231
8.3.3 Physicalist and Dualism Intuitions Cancel Out Each Other	231
8.3.4 Problems with Dualist Thought Experiments	232
8.3.5 Kripke and the Confusion Between Epistemic and Metaphysical Possibility	233
CHAPTER 9 Staunch Libertarian Agency and the Simple, Enduring Soul	234
9.1 Contemporary Recognition of the Problem SLA Raises for Naturalism and Physicalism	235
9.2 The Argument and Its Basic Epistemic Justification	237
9.2.1 The SLA Argument	237
9.2.2 Our Fundamental Justification for Libertarian Freedom	237
9.3 SLA and Its Metaphysical Worldview Implications	240
9.3.1 A Formal Characterization of SLA	241
9.3.2 Six Features That Constitute SLA	241
9.3.2.1 Free Agents Are Substances	241
9.3.2.2 Free Agents Have Active Power	243
9.3.2.3 Free Agents Are First Movers	243
9.3.2.4 Free Agents Have Categorical Ability	245

9.3.2.5	Free Agents Act for the Sake of Teleological Ends	245
9.3.2.6	Free Agents Exhibit Top/Down Causation	246
9.4	Alternatives to SLA	249
9.4.1	Daniel Dennett and Free Will Irrealism	249
9.4.2	John Searle and Creative Compatibilism	250
9.4.2.1	Free Will Within Naturalist Constraints	250
9.4.2.2	A Solution to the Naturalist Problem of Free Will	251
9.4.2.3	A Critique of Searle's Solution	254
9.4.3	Robert Kane and Faint-Hearted Libertarian Freedom	257
9.4.3.1	Kane and Naturalistic Constraints	257
9.4.3.2	Three Objections to Kane's Position	259
9.4.3.2.1	Kane's Denial of a Substantial Agent	259
9.4.3.2.2	Two Problems with Kane's Account of Causality	259
9.4.3.2.3	Kane's Rejection of Reasons as Teleological Ends	261
9.4.4	Kevin Timpe and Jonathan Jacobs: Minimalist Naturalism and Libertarianism	261
9.5	Causal Closure of the Physical and "Top-down" Causation	263
9.5.1	Kim's Supervenience Argument	263
9.5.2	Kim's Crucial Background Assumption	263
9.5.3	The Major Difficulty: Emergence	264
9.5.3.1	No Causal Overdetermination	265
9.5.3.1.1	Ted Sider's Counterargument and Our Response	266
9.5.3.1.2	Macro-objects are Aggregates	266
9.5.3.1.3	Adapting a Defeater from O'Connor and Churchill	267
9.6	Conclusion	271

PART V New and Neglected Responses to Common Defeaters Against Substance Dualism 273

CHAPTER 10	Important Frequently Raised Defeaters Against Substance Dualism	275
10.1	The Three Problems of Causal Interaction	276
10.1.1	Disambiguating "How Can Mental Entities Causally Interact with Physical Entities?"	276
10.1.2	Causal Interaction Violates the Conservation of Energy Principle	277
10.1.3	Causal Interaction Falls Prey to the Problem of Causal Pairing	280
10.2	Nine Neuroscientific Objections to SD	284
10.2.1	SD as a Soul-of-the-Gaps Argument	284
10.2.2	Neuroscience and the Explanatory Impotence of SD	285
10.2.3	Empirically Equivalent Theories and the Findings of Neuroscience	288

10.2.4	Neuroscience and the Nature of the Central Issues in Philosophy of Mind	290
10.2.5	Neuroscientific Methodology Relies on First-Person Reports	292
10.2.6	Scientific Explanation, Necessitation, and the Failure of the Neuroscientific Correlations	293
10.2.7	Neuroscientific Correlations and an Ad Hoc, Bloated Ontology	297
10.2.8	The Neuroscientific Parsimony Objection	298
10.2.9	Defeating and Turning the Tables on an SD Parsimony Argument	300
10.3	Postulating a Substantial Soul Is Explanatorily Impotent in Explaining the Occurrence of Conscious States	301
10.3.1	SD and Explanatory Impotence	301
10.3.2	SD and the Problematic Nature of Soul Stuff	302
10.3.3	SD Responses to These Objections	303
10.3.4	Responding to a Misguided Physicalist Defeater	303
10.3.5	A Response to Bailey’s Magical Mystery Tour	305

PART VI Charting a Future for Substance Dualism 309

CHAPTER 11	New Research Programs for 21st Century Substance Dualism	311
11.1	Conceptual Research Projects	312
11.1.1	Charting the Logical Space of Mere Substance Dualism	312
11.1.1.1	The Historical Argument	312
11.1.1.2	The Substance Argument	314
11.1.1.3	Varieties of Contemporary Substance Dualism	314
11.2	Methodological Research Projects	316
11.2.1	Empirically Informed and Testable SD	316
11.2.2	Ontologically Serious Philosophy of Mind	316
11.2.2.1	Properties, Powers, and Substances	317
11.2.2.2	Parts, Wholes, and Unity	318
11.2.2.3	The Return of Teleology	318
11.2.3	Preserving the Person/Subject	319
11.2.4	Preserving the First-Person	319
11.2.5	Consciousness-First and Analytic Phenomenology	320
11.3	Developmental SD Research Projects	322
11.3.1	Charting the Logical Space of SD Embodiment	322
11.3.1.1	Two-Dozen or so SD Embodiment Distinctions	322
11.3.1.2	SD Embodiment and the Unity of the Person	324
11.3.1.3	The Bodily Soul View	325
11.3.1.4	Staunch Hylomorphic SD	326
11.3.2	The Great Importance of Embodiment for SD	328

11.3.3	SD and Psychological Development	330
11.3.4	SD and the Nature of the Physical	331
11.4	Applied SD Research Projects	332
11.4.1	SD and New Waves in the Philosophy of Religion	332
11.4.2	SD and Epistemology	334
11.4.2.1	Internalism and Externalism	334
11.4.2.2	Social Epistemology	335
11.4.3	SD and Content Externalism	336
11.4.4	SD and Social Psychology	337
11.4.5	SD Healthcare and Mental Health	337
11.4.6	SD Approaches to Developing Technology	339
11.4.6.1	SD and Transhumanism	340
11.4.6.2	SD and Artificial Intelligence	340
11.4.6.3	SD and Virtual Reality	342
11.5	Conclusion	343
	APPENDIX: The Soul, Mental Action, and the Conservation Laws <i>Mihretu P. Guta</i>	344
	Bibliography	361
	Index	392